Exhibit 23: Growth Control and Management Measures Adopted by California Cities 1970-92, by Region, Size, Growth, and Growth Rate

Type of Measure Enacted	All Cities	Cities by Region				Cities by 1995 Population					Counties
		Southern	Northern	Central			10,001 -	25,001-	50,001-		
		California	California	Valley	Other	1-10,000	25,000	50,000	100,000	100,001+	
Sample Size	409	177	87	70	69	129	82	83	57	29	56.0%
Growth Control Measures Enacted											
Population Caps	9.0%										5.4%
Residential Caps	12.5										14.3
Commercial Space Caps	4.7	4.0	8.0	0.0	7.2	2.3	2.4	4.8	7.0	17.2	3.6
Industrial Space Caps	3.0	2.8	3.4	0.0	5.8	1.6	1.2	1.2	5.3	13.8	3.6
Subdivision Caps	2.7	1.1	3.4	5.7	2.9	3.1	4.9	2.4	0.0	3.4	8.9
Growth Management Measures Enacted											
Changes to FAR regulations	28.1%	38.1%	40.2%	11.4%	21.7%	16.3%	29.3%	28.9%	33.0%	44.8%	35.7%
Significant multi-parcel downzoning	26.6	39.5	23.0	10.0	14.5	17.1	26.8	21.7	42.1	41.4	30.4
Residential APFO	23.6	22.6	28.7	28.6	14.5	18.6	24.4	18.1	26.3	34.5	51.8
Commercial APFO	18.6	15.8	27.6	17.1	15.9	14.7	15.9	15.7	24.6	24.1	46.4
Changes to height regulations	16.6	18.1	21.8	14.3	8.7	8.5	12.2	16.9	22.8	27.6	19.6
Commercial downzoning	13.4	15.3	13.8	10.0	11.6	10.9	14.6	10.8	12.3	20.7	21.4
Growth Management Element	10.7	11.9	19.5	4.3	2.9	5.4	7.3	10.8	17.5	20.7	14.3
Phased development plans	7.9	7.3	4.6	15.7	5.8	7.0	8.5	8.4	3.5	20.7	25.0
Urban limit line/Urban growth boundary	4.7	1.1	4.6	14.3	4.3	5.4	6.1	6.0	1.8	3.4	10.7
adopted or tightened											
Significant multi-parcel upzoning	3.5	5.1	3.4	0.0	2.9	0.0	4.9	6.0	5.3	0.0	5.4

SOURCE: Ned Levine, Madelyn Glickfeld, and William Fulton. 1996. Home Rule: Local Growth...Regional Consequences. Draft.